

Novel Photocatalytic Product from m-Nitrocinnamic Acid and Alcohol Mediated by TiO₂

Koon Ha Park, Hyun Sang Joo, Sung Won Kim, Myeong Soon Park, and Pill Soo Shin Department of Chemistry, Chungnam National University, 220 Kung Dong, Yusung, Taejon, 305-764, Korea

Kun Jur

Korea Research Institute of Chemical Technology, Taejon, Korea 305-606, Korea

Kyung Il Ahn

Agency for Defense Development, Taejon, 305-600, Korea

Received 13 October 1998; accepted 27 November 1998

Abstract: New heterocycles 6 having a skeleton of 7-membered lactone and a 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-quinoline were obtained from TiO₂ suspended alcoholic solution of trans m-nitrocinnamic acid 4 by uv (350 nm) irradiation. Highly functionalized products 6 are believed to be formed through 4-alkoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolines 5. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Titanium dioxide (TiO₂), a photocatalyst, has been utilized not only in diverse fundamental chemical redox reactions¹ but also in practical applications such as environmental purification.² Despite the innumerable examples of photocatalytic degradations³ and transformations⁴ mediated by TiO₂, relatively limited reports on inducing intermolecular bondings have appeared. N-Alkylation of various amine⁵ and synthesis of 2-alkylbenzimidazole from *o*-dinitrobenzene in TiO₂ suspended alcohols⁶ are among the examples. Recently, we found out a one-pot synthesis of 4-ethoxy-2-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolines 1-3 by uv irradiation of ethanolic solution of nitroarene in the presence of TiO₂.⁷ At that time, however, reactions were carried out only in ethanol and stereostructures of the products 1-3 were not determined.

Herein we report unexpected heterocycle 6 having an unusual skeleton of 7-membered lactone and a tetrahydroquinoline produced from alcoholic solutions of *m*-nitrocinnamic acid 4 mediated by TiO₂ under irradiation of uv light. The results are listed in Table 1. When ethanol was used, 6a was isolated in 30%. Similar reactions in *n*-propanol and *n*-butanol were worked up to get inseparable

stereoisomers in 14% and 15% respectively.8

Table 1, Photocatalytic Products Obtained from 4 and Alcohol Using TiO2

Alcohol	Isolated Products (yield %)
E:OH	N Me
n-PrOH	6a (30%) ^a O Me + N Et 6b 6c C
n-BuOH	(14%) ^b O Et N Pr H 6d (15%) ^c

^aQuantitative yield determined by GC was 37%. ^bQuantitative yield by GC was 19%. ¹H NMR spectrum showed that the ratio of **6b** to **6c** was 2.5: 1.0. ^cMixture of three inseparable mixtures with a ratio of 5.3:1.0:1.0 based on ¹H NMR spectrum. Quantitative yield by GC was 19%.

Stereochemistry of 6a was unambiguously assigned by the splitting patterns of the protons at C-1, C-2 and C-10a. *i.e.* axial proton at C-1 showed ddd splitting pattern by geminal (J=14.4Hz), axial-axial (J=12.2Hz), and axial-equatorial (J=4.8Hz) couplings. On the other hand, equatorial proton

at C-1 showed dt splitting pattern by geminal (J=14.4Hz), axial-equatorial (J=2.1Hz), equatorial-equatorial (J=1.2Hz) couplings.

Product 6 is believed to be formed through 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline 5 which can undergo intramolecular cyclization by displacing alkoxy group. Intervention of 5 was confirmed indirectly using *m*-nitrocinnamide 7 which produced only 8 without making intramolecular cyclization.

CONH₂

$$\begin{array}{c}
CONH_2 \\
OEt \\
NO_2
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
TiO_2 (350 \text{ nm}) \\
N \\
CH_3
\end{array}$$

Noteworthy in the formation of 6 is the mode of cyclization. Substrates 4 and 7 gave products by cyclizing at a more sterically hindered carbon between two substituents. A plausible reason for this would be due to hydrogen bonding between the proton in the carboxyl/amide group and oxygen in alkyl vinyl ether which has been proposed as one of the intermediates in these reactions.⁷

Other semiconductor photocatalysts such as Pt-TiO₂ (physical mixture of 3wt% Pt), CdS, and CdS.ZnS¹⁰ were also examined in order to compare the surface effects on the course of the synthesis of 6. Pt-TiO₂ was more efficient than bare TiO₂ (Degussa P-25). *i.e.* yield of 6a was increased to 40% using Pt-TiO₂, but the other chalcogenide semiconductors were not effective to make any cyclization product. The nitro groups are likely to be more tightly adsorbed onto the surface of the oxide semiconductor rather than on the chalcogenides.

In overall, we have demonstrated examples in which redox couples self assemble in an unique way to afford new heterocycles 6 in moderate yields. These photocatalytic reactions might provide a useful synthetic methodology, difficult to reach by conventional methods.

Acknowledgments: Support from the Organic Chemistry Research Center sponsored by Korea Science Engineering Foundation (1997) and the Ministry of Education through Basic Science Research Program (BSRI-97-3433) is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

(a) Kraeutler, B.; Jaeger, C. D.; Bard, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 4903-4905.
 (b) Fox, M. A.; Dulay, M. T. Chem. Rev. 1993, 341-357.
 (c) Bolton, J. R. Science 1978, 202, 705-711.
 (d) Inoue, T.; Fujishima, A.; Konishi, S.; Honda, K. Nature 1979, 277, 637-638.

- Joyce-Pruden, C.; Pross, J. K.; Li, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 5087-5091.
- (a) Romero, R. L.; Alfano, O. M.; Cassano, A. E. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 1997, 36, 3094-3109.
 (b) Kikuchi, Y.; Sunada, K.; Iyoda, T.; Hashimoto, K.; Fujishima, A. J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem. 1997, 106, 51-56.
 (c) Ireland, J.; Klostermann, P.; Rice, E.; Clark, R. Appl. & Environ. Microbiol. 1993, 59(5), 1668-1670.
- (a) Park, K. H.; Kim, J. H. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 1991, 12, 438-440. (b) Hidaka, H.; Nohara, K.; Zhao, J.; Takashima, K.; Pelizzetti, E.; Serpone, N. New J. Chem. 1994, 18, 541.
 (c) Mills, A.; Sawunyama, P. J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem. 1994, 84, 305-309. (d) Minero, C.; Piccinini, P.; Calza, P.; Pelizzetti, E. New J. Chem. 1996, 20, 1159-1164. (e) Baciocchi, E.; Giacco, T. D.; Ferrero, M. I.; Rol, C.; Sebastiani, G. V. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 4015-4017. (f) Lobedank, J.; Bellmann, E.; Bendig, J. J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem. 1997, 108, 89-93. (g) Fox, M. A. Chemtech. 1992, 680-685.
- (a) Fox, M. A.; Ogawa, H.; Pichat, P. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 3847-3852. (b) Sharma, B. K.; Ameta, R.; Kaur, J.; Ameta, S. C. Int. J. Energy Res. 1997, 21, 923-929. (c) Nishimoto, S.; Ohtani, B.; Yoshikawa, T.; Kagiya, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 7180-7182. (d) Cuendet, P.; Graetzel, M. J. Phy. Chem. 1987, 91, 654-657.
- (a) Ohtani, B.; Osaki, H.; Nishimoto, S.; Kagiya, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 308-310. (b)
 Ohtani, B.; Goto, Y.; Nishimoto, S.; Inui, T. J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1996, 92(21), 4291-4295.
- 6. (a) Wang, H.; Partch, R. E.; Li, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 5222-5225. (b) Mahdavi, F.; Bruton, T. C.; Li, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 744-746.
- 7. Park, K. H.; Joo, H. S.; Ahn, K. I.; Jun, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36(33), 5943-5946.
- 8. Reactions conditions were not optimized. Other unknown products were also formed in addition to **6b,c** and **6d**.
- 9. **6a**: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, chloroform-d) δ 1.30 (d, *J*=6.3, 3H, CH₃), 1.69-1.78 (ddd, *J*=14.4, *J*=12.2, *J*=4.8, 1H, axial H at C-1), 2.34-2.39 (d of apparent t, *J*=14.3, *J*=1.7, 1H, equatorial H at C-1), 3.43-3.53 (dqd, *J*=12.2, *J*=6.3, *J*=2.1, 1H, H at C-2), 4.13 (br, 1H, NH), 5.16-5.18 (dd, *J*=4.8, *J*=1.2, 1H, H at C-10a), 6.32 (d, *J*=12.0, 1H, vinyl-H at C-8), 6.69 (d, *J*=8.3, 1H, Ph-H at C-4), 6.74 (d, *J*=7.4, 1H, Ph-H at C-6), 7.14 (d, *J*=12.0, 1H, vinyl-H at C-7), 7.19 (apparent t, *J*=7.80, 1H, Ph-H at C-5). ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, chloroform-d) δ 21.3 (CH₃ at C-2), 36.9 (C-1), 42.6 (C-2), 69.8 (C-10a), 116.5 (C-4), 117.4 (C-6b), 118.6 (C-6), 122.6 (C-8), 129.9 (C-5), 136.2 (C-6a), 140.7 (C-7), 145.4 (C-6c), 168.0 (C-9). GC/MS; 215 (M⁺), 200, 187, 172, 156, 143, 128, 102, 89, 77. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₃H₁₃NO₂: C, 72.53; H, 6.09; N, 6.51. Found: C, 72.86; H, 5.93; N, 6.51.
- Kakuta, N.; Park, K. H.; Finlayson, M. F.; Ueno, A.; Bard, A. J.; Campion, A.; Fox, M. A.;
 Webber, S. E.; White, J. M. J. Phy. Chem. 1985, 89, 732-734.